

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,267	11/10/2003	Anthony George	60714-1010	7038
24504	7590 04/06/2005		EXAM	INER
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			LAI, ANNE VIET NGA	
100 GALLER	IA PARKWAY, NW			
STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, (	GA 30339-5948		2636	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/705,267	GEORGE, ANTHONY		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Anne V. Lai	2636		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dains and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	November 2003.			
-		is action is non-final.			
3)□					
Disnosit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers	rawn from consideration.			
		nor.			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2003 is. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir Theorem 1.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object te drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail ( 8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Weaver** [US. 5,880,672].

Regarding claims 1 and 3-5, **Weaver** discloses a method for guiding emergency personnel to a location after being in close proximity of the location, comprising: recognizing an emergency situation (call 911); activating a light source (50, fig. 1) located at the location to attract emergency personnel; the activation comprises activating a switch 18 that is coupled to the light source by pressing a key on a telephone keypad 12 (abstract; fig. 2).

Regarding claims 6 and 8-11, **Weaver** discloses a system for indicating precise location of an emergency location, comprising:

a light source 50 (fig. 1 and 4) located at the location and visible to emergency personnel when being activated;

circuit means 18 (fig. 2) for activating the light source in the event of emergency; a second light source (16, 26, fig. 2) electrically connected to the circuit means to provide a trail to direct emergency personnel to the location when activated.

the activation comprises activating a switch that is coupled to the light source by pressing a key on a telephone keypad (abstract).

Regarding claims 16-17, **Weaver** discloses a method for guiding emergency personnel to a location after being in close proximity of the location, comprising using combination of a first and a second light sources for directing emergency personnel to the location (50, 26, fig. 2); pressing a key of a device (telephone) to activate a switch coupled to the first light source (12, 18; fig. 2).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 7, 12-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Weaver** in view of **Thomson et al** [US. 6,307,920].

Regarding claims 2, **Weaver** does not disclose the light source emits a periodic light; **Thomson et al** teach a method for guiding emergency personnel to a location after being in close proximity of the location using a periodic (stroboscopic, flasher) light emitting diode. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made the use of a flashing light is to differentiate the emergency locating light to a regular lighting, providing more attraction for guiding emergency personnel to the location.

Regarding claims 7, **Thomson et al** teach the light source is located on a mailbox (col. 7, line 53).

Regarding claims 12-15 and 18, **Thomson et al** teach the specific location can be an apartment located within an apartment group or a collection of locations including the specific location (apartment buildings, multiple family home; col. 7, lines 57-67); the first and the second light sources can be placed at any convenient place to guide emergency personnel to the specific location (col. 7, lines 42-56).

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Scheiding** discloses a strobe light and emergency call warning device with remote activator. [US. 6,289,082]

**Gorman et al** disclose a telephone activated emergency response system. [US. 6,205,203]

Boling et al disclose panic button phone. [US: 6,044,257]

**Fahie et al** disclose an emergency telephone number alerting device. [US. 5,960,061]

Collins discloses a tone dialing activated emergency locator signal light system.

[US. 5,621,379]

**Leighton et al** disclose a telephone activated emergency light system. [US. 5,012,507]

Application/Control Number: 10/705,267

Art Unit: 2636

McMinn et al disclose a phone activated emergency signaling system. [US.

4,993,058]

Robinson et al disclose an emergency telephone actuated signal light. [US.

4,935,951]

**Delisle** discloses a silent alarm and means for directing emergency personnel to

the site. [US. 3,798,375]

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anne V. Lai whose telephone number is 571-272-2974.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hofsass Jeffery can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. V. Lai
March 31, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5